International Journal of Drug Research and Technology

Available online at http://www.ijdrt.com

Research Article

PATTERN OF IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME AND ITS SYMPTOMS CHARACTERISTICS: A STUDY FROM TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN NORTH INDIA

Paraag Kumar[1*], Vivek Ahuja[1], Amit Agarwal[1], Sunita Gupta[2], Paraag Kumar[1]

[1]Department of Gastroenterology, Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Sciences and Research (MMDU), Mullana, Ambala, India

[2]Department of General Medicine, Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Sciences and Research (MMDU), Mullana, Ambala, India

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is a bowel disorder, in which there is an association of chronic abdominal pain with irregularity in form of stool and passage in the absence of any organic cause. IBS is classified into various subtypes including IBS-D (Diarrhea predominant), IBS-C (Constipation predominant) and IBS-M (mixed).

Objective: The present study was planned to evaluate the pattern of IBS, its symptoms characteristics epidemiological profile of patients of IBS from rural area in Haryana, attending a tertiary care hospital.

Materials And methods: 100 consecutive patients of age 12-50 years presenting to the OPD (Out Patient Department) of Gastroenterology after being selected as study population was enquired about a detailed questionnaire.

Results: The numbers of IBS-D patient were 68 (68%), IBS-M was 26 (26%) and IBS-C was 6 (6%). The number of male patient were 58 (58%) and number of female patient were 42 (42%). 44% patients with IBS had a normal BMI (Body Mass Index), 3% patients were underweight, 42% were overweight while 11% patients were obese. Among the obese patients, 72.7% had IBS-D, 18.1% had IBS-M and 9% had IBS-C. Most patients in the study were farmers by occupation (38%). 36% patients in the study were educated up to secondary school, while only 28% were graduates and 8% were post graduates. We concluded that IBS-D was the most common subtype observed in our study population. Majority of individuals in this study had a BMI >25kg/m^2. Majority of patients in our study had a low level of education

Conclusion: Further studies which include a larger population are required which can elaborate the differences in clinical profile of patients with IBS in urban and rural population

INTRODUCTION

Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is a bowel disorder, in which there is an association of chronic abdominal pain with irregularity in form of stool and passage in the absence of any organic cause [1]. In IBS there is dysregulation of the brain gut axis that interacts with the visceral hypersensitivity and is associated with micro-inflammation of the gut and digestive motor disturbances, with possibly an imbalance of the intestinal microflora [2].

The global prevalence of IBS has been estimated to be 11.2% [3] with a wide variation by geographic regions. IBS was seen in 12.27% in a community based study from Mumbai, India [4]. Another study from North India has reported a prevalence of 4% in their studied population [5].

Symptoms of IBS comprise of diarrhoea, constipation, alternating diarrhoea and constipation, or normal bowel habits alternating with either diarrhoea and/or constipation. In some patient's abdominal pain is relieved with defecation, while few reports worsening of pain with defecation [6]. Meals and emotional stress may exacerbate the pain. IBS patients frequently complain that there is increased gas production in the form of flatulence or belching and abdominal bloating.

The classification of IBS into its various subtypes as IBS-C (constipation predominant), IBS-D (diarhhea predominant), IBS with mixed bowel habits and unclassified IBS is according to the predominant bowel habit [7]. According to Rome IV, bowel habits are based on forms of stool only during days with abnormal bowel movements (more than one-fourth: 25% of bowel movements) [1]. Subtypes can be established confidently only when evaluation is done off medications used to treat bowel habit abnormalities.

Irritable bowel syndrome should be suspected in individuals with chronic abdominal pain and altered bowel habits (constipation and/or diarrhoea). No definitive diagnostic laboratory test for IBS is present. The aim of laboratory testing is primarily to exclude any alternative diagnosis.

The current study was planned to evaluate the pattern of IBS, its symptoms, characteristics and the clinical subtypes in a tertiary care hospital situated in rural part of northern India.

METHODOLOGY

This observational, cross sectional hospital based study was carried out in MMIMSR (Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Sciences and Research) Mullana, Ambala; a tertiary care hospital situated in rural parts of Northern Haryana.

Any patient who attended to the OPD of Medical Gastroenterology department from July 2019 to December 2020, with complaints of abdominal distension, feeling of incomplete defecation, abdominal discomfort or pain relieved by defecation, and one or more of the symptoms (diarrhea, constipation, alternating diarrhea, and constipation) was considered for inclusion in the study. 100 consecutive patients in the age group of 12 to 50 years were selected. Since, most studies have shown a high prevalence of IBS in this age group, so individuals between 12 to 50 years were only included. A minimum duration of change in bowel habits of 3 months was considered necessary for inclusion in the study protocol.

Exclusion criteria:

1. Individuals with presence of alarm symptoms such as weight loss, fever, bleeding per rectum, anemia, nocturnal symptoms, family history of colon carcinoma.
2. Patients with previously diagnosed ulcerative colitis, crohn's disease, celiac disease, abdominal malignancy, abdominal tuberculosis, pregnant women, diverticulitis, peptic ulcer, chronic kidney disease.
3. Postoperative cases including surgery for hemorrhoids, fissure in ano.
4. Patients on anti-depressants recently detected hypothyroid state, diabetes mellitus or following radiotherapy.
5. Patients who refused to give informed consent.

After fulfilling the above mentioned criteria's, selected patients were enquired about detailed history and a thorough clinical examination was done in all cases. Laboratory investigations and endoscopies were carried out as indicated, primarily to rule out any organic disease. Every patient was given a questionnaire on their first visit. Each item of this questionnaire was explained to the patients.

The questionnaire was divided into two parts. The first part was to assess patient's socio-economic, demographic and clinical parameters. The second part was for diagnosis and subtype of IBS.
Rome IV diagnostic criteria were used to diagnose and sub classify IBS.

RESULTS

In our study, a total number of 100 cases were taken for analysis. 58 patients were male and 42 were females (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Male-female distribution in the study.

44% patients with IBS had a normal BMI, 3 persons were underweight, 42 were overweight while 11 patients were obese. Among the obese patients, 72.7% had IBS-D, 18.1% had IBS-M and 9% had IBS-C. Also, 52.9% patients having IBS-D subtype had BMI >25 kg/m^2 (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of subtype of IBS of study subjects.

Subtype of IBS	Frequency	Percentage
IBS – C	6	6.00%
IBS – D	68	68.00%
IBS – M	26	26.00%

Most patients in the study were farmer by occupation (38%), followed by housewives (33%), in private jobs (16%) and students (13%). Further, 81% of the patients in the current study were married and rest were unmarried (Table 2).

Table 2. Occupation of patients in the study.

Occupation	Farmer	38	38.00%
	Housewife	33	33.00%
	Job	16	16.00%
	Student	13	13.00%

36% patients in the study were educated up to secondary school, while only 28% were graduates and 8% were post graduates (Table 3).

Table 3. Education status of patients in the study.

Education	Illiterate	8
	Primary school	20
	Secondary school	36
	Graduate	28
	Postgraduate	8

Duration of the symptoms ranged from 3 months to 14 years with a mean of 3.3 ± 3.4 years. Frequency of stools in the given population ranged from 2 per week to 10 per day (Table 4).

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of duration (in years) and frequency (in days) of study subjects.

Variables	**Mean ± SD**	**Range**
Duration of symptoms (in years)	3.3 ± 3.4	0.33-14
Frequency of stools (in days)	4.55 ± 2.18	0.28-10

Fatigue was the most common reported non GI (gastrointestinal) symptom in the study population, seen in 61%. Incomplete evacuation of stools was reported by 58% of the patients. 52% patients also reported of upper abdominal discomfort or pain. Bloating and heartburn were the next most commonly reported symptoms seen in 36% and 34% patients respectively (Table 5).

Table 5. Distribution of symptoms of subjects.

Symptoms	Number of patients	Percentage
Urgency	29	29.00%
Straining	19	19.00%
Incomplete evacuation	58	58.00%
Mucus	20	20.00%
Bloating	36	36.00%
Upper abdominal discomfort/pain	52	52.00%
Heartburn	34	34.00%
Fatigue	61	61.00%
Back pain	21	21.00%
Headache	18	18.00%

Table 1 show that maximum cases in the current study were of IBS-D while minimum of IBS-C. The numbers of IBS-D patients were 68 (68%), IBS-M was 26 (26%) and IBS-C was 6 (6%).

DISCUSSION

This study was conducted in a tertiary care hospital situated in rural parts of Northern India. The aim was to study the clinical profile and epidemiological features of patients with presenting with IBS.

In our study, 58% of the patients were males and 42% females. In various other studies reported from our sub-continent on IBS, male patients predominate. In a study by Sinharoy U, et al. [8] in West Bengal, 59% of the patients in the study group were males. Although IBS is thought to be more prevalent in females, our study did not show these findings. In a meta-analysis done by Lovell RM, et al. [9], it was seen that prevalence of IBS was not significantly higher in women compared with men in South Asian population. However, of note, in IBS-C subtype there was a female preponderance in our study. This finding was similar to that seen in the above mentioned meta-analysis. Also, diarrhoea predominant IBS was more common in males. Increased prevalence of constipation in females might be attributed to the female hormones. Also, some studies have suggested worsening of IBS symptoms with menstrual cycle. Further studies are required to establish a relationship between these findings.

In our study, diarrhoea-predominant subtype was found to be the commonest (68.0%) and constipation-predominant the least common (6.0%) type of IBS. In present study mixed type of IBS was found in 26% of cases. In a large population based study by Ghoshal UC, et al. [10], 39% had constipation-predominant IBS, 4% had diarrhoea predominant IBS and 57% had indeterminate symptoms. This difference might be due to the fact that ours was a hospital based study as compared to their population based study. In our sub-continent, most patients with symptoms of constipation frequently try home remedies, alternative and over the counter

medications before presenting to the hospital. This might be the cause of increased proportion of diarrhoea predominant IBS in our hospital based study.

In the present study, 44% patients had a normal BMI, while 42% patients were overweight and 11% were obese. Among the obese patients, 72.7% patients had IBS-D subtype. Also, 52.9% patients having IBS-D subtype had BMI >25 kg/m^2. This finding has been observed in other studies as well. Sadik R, et al. [11] in their study have shown that high BMI was associated with accelerated colonic transit leading to high stool frequency. In another study from India, by Bamanikar A, et al. [12], IBS-D patients were seen to be significantly overweight or obese. Higher BMI was also associated with more severe symptoms and impaired mental health in their study group. Accelerated colonic transit in overweight patients in their study has been postulated due to increased fat intakes in these individuals which stimulate the gastrocolic reflex. Also, overweight and obese individuals have higher bile acid levels in their stools which may lead to accelerated intestinal transit. Thus, while managing patients with IBS-D, special attention should be given to the weight of these patients. These patients should be educated about the relation between obesity and their symptoms. Weight loss should be an integral part of managing patients of IBS-D who are overweight or obese.

36% patients in the present study were educated up to secondary school, while only 28% were graduates and 8% were post graduates. As is evident, most cases in our study had a lower level of education. Andrew EB, et al. [13] in their study also showed that IBS was more prevalent in individuals with lower income and less education. While in their study, IBS was more prevalent in unmarried individuals, 81% of the patients in our study were married. Mansouri A, et al. [14] in their study found that IBS was more prevalent in patients with higher education level as compared to our study.

The population included in our study mainly belongs to a rural background. So, maximum number of patients (38%) were farmer by occupation. 33% of the patients were housewives, while 16% were doing private jobs and 13% were students. Anxiety is a common symptom seen in patients with IBS. IBS is also seen more frequently in individuals with a higher socio-economic status, stressful jobs and physical inactivity [15]. However, in our study it was mostly seen in farmers which have a good amount of physical activity. So, diagnosis of IBS should also be kept in mind even when dealing with individuals with high level of physical activity.

IBS is associated commonly with other functional GI disorders including GERD (Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease) and functional dyspepsia. In our study, 52% patients had upper abdomen discomfort or pain. This could be attributed to dyspepsia. In a community-based survey conducted among 3000 people in rural and urban populations in a district of Bangladesh, 42% of FD (Functional Dyspepsia) subjects had IBS and 27% IBS subjects had FD [16]. Also, in our

study 34% patients had retrosternal burning sensation and reflux symptoms which could be attributed to accompanying GERD.

IBS is also associated with a variety of non-GI complaints. In our study, 61% patients had fatigue, 21% patients had back pain and 18% had headache. Whorwell PJ, et al. [17] conducted a study on non-colonic features of irritable bowel syndrome. Various non-colonic gastrointestinal complaints like nausea, vomiting, dysphagia and early satiety were very common in IBS patients ($p < 00001$). Back pain, a constant feeling of tiredness, an unpleasant taste in the mouth, incomplete bladder emptying, frequency and urgency of micturition, and in women dyspareunia were particularly prominent. Irrespective of whether the patient had a psychiatric disorder or not, this symptom diversity was observed in their study. Thus, a thorough history taking and a holistic approach is necessary while dealing with IBS patients.

Our study had a few limitations. The study population was small. This study was a cross sectional study without follow up and impact of treatment on the symptoms. Lifestyle changes were not documented in the study. In this study, population was from a limited region, so it may not be the representative of the general population of the country. In our study, the study population may be affected by many factors like probable lesser reporting of female patients to hospital and also patients reporting to medicine and surgery department were not included in the study. Patients in our study were included from tertiary centre so they are more likely to have greater symptoms severity and associated functional disorders and psychiatric symptoms. Also, the age group included in our study was limited and future studies should include patients beyond this age group.

CONCLUSION

Ours was a hospital based study to observe the clinical and epidemiological spectrum of the patients diagnosed with IBS. IBS was more commonly seen in males as compared to females. IBS-D was the most common subtype observed in our study population seen in 68% cases. Majority of individuals in this study had a BMI >25 kg/m^2. Majority of patients in our study had a low level of education. Non GI complaints were seen in majority of our patients in which fatigue (61%) and backache (21%) were quite common.

IBS is a common clinical diagnosis seen in Gastrointestinal OPDs. As opposed to what was previously thought, IBS is now being more commonly seen in males. Even, individuals with a good physical activity can have IBS. Patients with IBS generally have a number of non GI complaints associated with abdominal symptoms. Thus, a holistic approach is necessary while managing such patients. Further studies which include a larger population are required which can elaborate the differences in clinical profile of patients with IBS in urban and rural population.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There are none.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the staff of our department for their contribution in the study.

REFERENCES

1. Lacy BE, Mearin F, Chang L et al. (2016) Bowel disorders. ***Gastroenterol***.150:1393-407.
2. Chua ASB. (2011) "Prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome in Northern India." ***J Neurogastroenterol Motil*** 17: 6.
3. Lovell RM., & Ford AC. (2012). Global prevalence of and risk factors for irritable bowel syndrome: A meta-analysis. ***Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*** 10: 712-721.
4. Nagaonkar SN, Singh VS, Kangule DT, et al. (2018). A study of prevalence and determinants of irritable bowel syndrome in an urban slum community in Mumbai. ***J Datta Meghe Inst Med Sci Univ*** 13:87.
5. Makharia GK, Verma AK, Amarchand R, et al. (2011). Prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome: A community based study from northern India. ***J Neurogastroenterol Motil*** 17:82.
6. Simren M, Palsson OS, & Whitehead WE (2017). Update on Rome IV criteria for colorectal disorders: Implications for clinical practice. ***Curr Gastroenterol Rep*** 19:1-8.
7. Longstreth GF, Thompson WG, Chey WD, et al. (2006). Functional bowel disorders. ***Gastroenterol*** 130:1480-1491.
8. Sinharoy U, Sinharoy K, Mukhopadhyay P, et al. (2015). Pattern of irritable bowel syndrome and its impact on quality of life: A tertiary hospital based study from Kolkata

on newly diagnosed patients of irritable bowel syndrome attending general medical outpatient department. ***ChrisMed JHR*** 2:238.

9. Lovell RM, & Ford AC (2012). Effect of gender on prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome in the community: systematic review and meta-analysis. ***ACG*** 107:991-1000.
10. Ghoshal UC, Abraham P, Bhatt C, et al. (2008). Epidemiological and clinical profile of irritable bowel syndrome in India: report of the Indian Society of Gastroenterology Task Force. ***Indian J Gastroenterol*** 27:22-28.
11. Sadik R, Björnsson E, & Simren M (2010). The relationship between symptoms, body mass index, gastrointestinal transit and stool frequency in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. ***Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*** 22:102-108.
12. Bamanikar A, Sharma S, Vaishnav B (2020). Impact of irritable bowel syndrome and body mass index on mental health: A prospective cross sectional study. ***Int J Integr Health Sci*** 14-14.
13. Andrews EB, Eaton SC, Hollis KA, et al. (2005). Prevalence and demographics of irritable bowel syndrome: results from a large web-based survey. ***Aliment Pharmacol Ther*** 22: 935-942.
14. Mansouri A, Rarani MA, Fallahi M, Alvandi I, et al. (2017). Irritable bowel syndrome is concentrated in people with higher educations in Iran: An inequality analysis. ***Epidemiol health*** 39.
15. Sadeghian M, Sadeghi O, Keshteli H, et al. (2018). Physical activity in relation to irritable bowel syndrome among Iranian adults. ***PloS One*** e0205806.

16. Perveen I, Rahman MM, Saha M, et al. (2014). Prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia, overlapping symptoms, and associated factors in a general population of Bangladesh. ***Indian J Gastroenterol*** 33:265-273.
17. Whorwell PJ, McCallum M, Creed FH, et al. (1986). Non-colonic features of irritable bowel syndrome. ***Gut*** 27:37-40.

Correspondence Author:

Dr. Paraag Kumar *
Department of Gastroenterology, Maharishi Markandeshwar Institute of Medical Sciences and Research (MMDU), Mullana, Ambala, India

E-mail:paraag2789@gmail.com

Tel: +919780413021

Cite This Article: Kumar P, Ahuja V, Agarwal A, Gupta S, Kumar P (2022) "Pattern of Irritable Bowel Syndrome and its Symptoms Characteristics: A Study from Tertiary Care Hospital in North India." ***International Journal of Drug Research and Technology*** Vol. 11 (2) 1-11.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DRUG RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY